

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS F O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 23313-1450 www.uspilo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/601,913	08/08/2000	Sergei Mikhailovich Safronov	V-177	5275
802 PATENTTM.U	7590 05/18/200	EXAMINER		
P. O. BOX 827	788	RADA, ALEX P		
PORTLAND,	OR 97282-0788	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3714	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/18/2009	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/601,913	SAFRONOV ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
ALEX P. RADA	3714	

	ALEX P. RADA	3714	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 17 April 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE	
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following n application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Cl periods;</li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice of a eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (t MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 766 07(f)	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE ).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FILE	n. .ED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date c have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the ste forth in (b) above, if checked, Any reply received by the Office date are may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount nortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS.	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b</li> </ol>	ut prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	causo
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belov (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better	sideration and/or search (see NOT v);	ΓE below);	
appeal; and/or	or form for appear by materially for	adding or simplifying ti	10 100000 101
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c		ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.11			TOL 204
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>		mpilant Amendment (F	FIOL-324).
<ol> <li>Mewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		timely filed amendmer	t canceling the
7. \( \bar{\text{\text{\$N\$}}} \) for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s); a) \( \bar{\text{\$k\$}} \) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provious the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) alpiceted to: Claim(s) rejected: \( \frac{1}{2} \) Tand \( \frac{2}{2} \) 1-22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an ex	planation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails se 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
See Continuation Sheet.  12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (I	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
13. Other:			
/Peter D. Vo/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3714			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new matter raised in claim 17, for example regarding the limitation of, "a condition of one field does not depend on a condition of another field, being of the same form, the same square and the same attitude" is condition of another field, being of the same form, the same square and the same attitude is considered a new matter. Also in claim 17, the limitation of, "a technical facility forming and encrypting the random numbers which comprise complex random numbers being a pair consisting of identification marker of the game field involved in the collision and exact time of the collision mather are in another example of new matter. The examiner notes that these are just a few examples. The specification as originally filed does not disclose nor teach the examples noted above. The examiner request that applicant point out in the original specification all of the amended claimed limitations as well as the method of play as originally requested in the previous office action. The added limitations also would require further search and consideration.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant contends that the prior at does not disclose not reach the claimed invention as amended. Applicant submits two declarations by Roman I. Yakimenko and Vladimir P Nikitsky. An affidavit or other evidence submitted after a final rejection or other final action (§ 1.113) in an application or in an ex parte reexamination filed under § 1.510, or an action closing prosecution (§ 1.549) in an inter partes reexamination filed under § 1.913 but before or on the same date of filing an appeal (§ 41.31 or § 41.61 of this title), may be admitted upon a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. The declarations submitted will not be entered nor considered because applicant did not show a good and sufficient reason as to why it wasn't presented earlier. The examiner notes wever the declarations submitted seems to be an opinion by applicants. The declarations does not provide any evidence regarding any of the claimed limitations as amended.